PsychoHeresy Proliferating

An extract from the article by Martin and Deidre Bobgan

Psychoheresy Awareness Ministries

www.psychoheresy-aware.org

Faith in Science Falsely So-Called

Those of us who believe that Christians should not integrate secular counseling psychologies with the Bible are often dismissed with such shibboleths as "All truth is God's truth," when, in fact, the kind of psychology we are opposed to is made up of opinions and myths, rather than truth. It is "science falsely so called." Christians should follow Paul's admonition to Timothy:

"O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, and oppositions of science falsely so called: Which some professing have erred concerning the faith" (1 Timothy 6:20,21). ...

The "Christian Psychology" Tree

A very telling graphic, ... is titled "The Roots and Shoots of Christian Psychology" (p. 77). This graphic shows a tree with branches bearing the names of some of the well-known psychological integrationists, including Larry Crabb and Dan Allender on the "Spiritual Seekers" branch; James Dobson and Norm Wright on the "Family/Marriage" branch; Frank Minirth, Paul Meier, Stephen Arterburn and Robert McGee on the "Clinical Care" branch; Neil Anderson and James Friesen on the "Dissociative Disorders" branch; Norman Vincent Peale and Robert Schuller on the "Self-Esteem" branch; and Newton Malony and Richard Dobbins on the "Pastoral Counseling" branch. Right at the base of the trunk are inscribed three names: Clyde Narramore (considered to be the father of the "Christian psychology" movement), Paul Tournier (universalist), and Karl Menninger.

Roots of 'Christian Psychology'"

The roots, labeled "Secular & Humanistic Pioneers," include Carl Rogers, Carl Jung, Sigmund Freud, Abraham Maslow, B. F. Skinner, and Virginia Satir, all of whom opposed Christianity, with at least the first three involved in blatant occult practices. Each of these "roots" had strong metaphysical beliefs that comprised their unbiblical, anti-Christian belief systems (religions). While promoted as science, these theories and teachings are religions rather than science. What kind of tree is this, with occult and secular humanistic religious roots? It is clear that the roots are ungodly. Is this a tree from which Christians should eat? Or, does it more resemble "the tree of knowledge of good and evil" (Genesis 2:9)?

bayith.org