

Barford Sherbourne and Wasperton Joint Parish Council

Minutes of the Meeting of the Planning Committee held on Monday 10th October 2005 at Barford Memorial Hall

Present: Cllr J V Murphy (Chairman)
Cllrs M P Byerley, R Clay, Mrs A Gordon, G P Grima, Dr M J Metcalfe, S G Starkey, J T Wright

Apologies: Cllr R G Mulgrue

Opening

82 The meeting opened at 7:30pm

83 Apologies for absence were noted

Declaration of Personal and Prejudicial Interest

84 None was declared

Representations by Members of the Public

85 No member of the public was present.

Planning Applications

86 **Application No: W05/1563**

Description: Erection of garage with office/playroom over
Address: Hillford House, Barford Road, Barford, Warwick, CV35 8DA
Applicant: Mr and Mrs L Aufrey
Decision: The Joint Parish Council has no objection.

87 **Application No: W05/1645**

Description: Erection of a replacement dwelling with conservatory, reposition of vehicular access
Address: Llwyn, Wasperton Road, Wasperton, Warwick, CV35 8EB
Applicant: Mr and Mrs Drury
Decision: The Joint Parish Council has the following comments:
1. The drawings are not dimensioned and the scale of the current application is in conflict with the scale on the approved application (W20050089) giving a 100% variance in size. Are the plans mislabeled for scale or has one been reduced or enlarged?
2. On the planning application the details of sections 1 and 2 have been transposed, and section 14 has not been completed when vehicle access is clearly being altered.
3. Boundary treatments, especially against Donnington (easterly neighbour) which were included in the previous application (W20050089) have been removed from this application.

The Joint Parish Council raises objection on the following grounds:

1. The proposed new access may make entry and egress difficult or dangerous and will adversely impact upon neighbours opposite who are obliged to park on the carriageway.
2. The removal of substantial and established hedgerow and trees on all boundaries is unneighbourly and undesirable, especially as retention of same was an important element of the previous appeal success.
3. Loss of trees within the site.
4. The footprint has been increased over the approved plans by increasing the depth of the proposed building.

Any Other Business

88 There being no other business the meeting closed at 8:30pm.