Where Did Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development (SD) Originate?

- The United Nations: In a 1987 report titled: *Our Common Future*;
- Author: Gro Harlem Brundtland, VP of the World Socialist Party;
- Issued at: UN’s Earth Summit in 1992 in Rio de Janeiro, in a document titled, *UN Sustainable Development Agenda 21*;
- Referred to today as Agenda 21 (21st Century). It is a ‘hard law’ document;
- The Earth Charter describes the philosophy which Agenda 21’s laws and regulations must promote and enforce;
- Agenda 2030: A “new universal agenda” for every man, woman, and child on the planet [*Agenda 21 in One Easy Lesson*].

What is Sustainable Development?

“In order to meet the challenges of environment and development, States have decided to establish a new global partnership … commit[ing] all States to engage in a continuous and constructive dialogue … keeping in view that increasing interdependence of the community of nations and that sustainable development should become a priority item on the agenda of the international community” [*Earth Summit Agenda 21*, Chapter 2, p.2].

“Sustainable Development, as outlined in Agenda 21 and the subsequent Earth Charter, is the driving force behind what Al Gore calls a ‘wrenching transformation’ that society must endure to repair what he perceives as the damage of the 20th century’s Industrial Revolution. It is the same Industrial Revolution that gave us modern transportation, medicine, indoor plumbing, healthy drinking water, central heating, air conditioning, and electric light. Sustainable Development is not about environmental clean up of rivers, air and litter” [*The Green Agenda: Sustainable Development*].

“This is how governments are transforming what was a free society into a managed society – and calling it a sustainable community. … The word ‘sustainable’ should be replaced with the words ‘government-managed’ when considering any proposal. Government-managed development, and government-managed communities are not quite as inviting as sustainable development and sustainable communities. They are the same, however” [*When SD Comes to Your Town*].

Agenda 21

“Agenda 21 is an entire blueprint for restructuring society on a global scale” [*Artificial Paradise, Inc.*].

“AGENDA 21 proposes an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by every person on Earth… it calls for specific changes in the activities of all people” [*Agenda 21: The Earth Summit Strategy to Save Our Planet, quoted in Your Hometown*].

“Agenda 21 outlines, in detail, the UN’s vision for a centrally managed global society. This contract binds governments [and federal and local authorities] around the world to the UN’s plan for controlling the way we live, eat, learn, move and communicate … involved in every aspect of every human on earth. … Agenda 21 is not an environmental management policy, but an attempt to impose a global centrally planned quasi-government administered by the UN. Under Agenda 21 all central government and local authority signatories are required to conform strictly to a common prescribed standard” [*The Green Agenda: Agenda 21 – the UN Blueprint for the 21st Century*].

“Originally devised to regulate the global environment, the United Nations’ Agenda 21 initiative, also known as Sustainable Development, has evolved into a political objective, calling for the complete subjugation of the world’s population … through
massive relocation of entire cities, depopulation, and the expansive cordonning of land into nature reserves” [An Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, p.5].

“Agenda 21 is not an urban legend. It is a fact of life” [An Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, p.6].

What Does Agenda 21 Contain?

“It consists of 115 different and very specific programs designed to facilitate, or to force, the transition to Sustainable Development. ... The agenda is broken up into 8 ‘programme areas for action’:

- Agriculture,
- Biodiversity and Ecosystem Management,
- Education,
- Energy and Housing,
- Population,
- Public Health,
- Resources and Recycling,
- Transportation, Sustainable Economic Development” [The Green Agenda: Agenda 21 – the UN Blueprint for the 21st Century].

What is Not Sustainable? A Return to the Agrarian Scheme

“Sustainability, as defined by Agenda 21 ... is the screen through which every human action is viewed and judged, and those actions are deemed to be either positive or negative when weighed against this yardstick of judgment. Actions that are deemed non-sustainable are to be curtailed via a plethora of legislative restrictions accompanied by a myriad of punitive damages in many cases” [Artificial Paradise, Inc.].

Many things are deemed “not sustainable” in Agendas 21 / 2030. The UN Environment Programme lists 42 verboten areas:

- Ski runs;
- Grazing of livestock;
- Disturbance of soil surfaces;
- Fencing of pastures or paddocks;
- Agriculture;
- Modern farm production systems;
- Chemical fertilizer;
- Herbicides;
- Building materials;
- Industrial activities;
- Paved and tarred roads;
- Railroads;
- Floor and wall tiles;
- Aquaculture;
- Technology improvements;
- Farmlands/rangelands;
- Fish ponds;
- Plantations;
- Modern hunting;
- Logging activities;
- Harvesting timber;
- Single family homes;
- Private transportation;
- Fossil fuels;
- Dams;
- reservoirs, straightening rivers;
- Power line construction;
- Economic systems that fail to set value on the environment;
- Inappropriate social structures;
- Weaknesses in legal and institutional systems;
- Attitudes toward nature – Judeo-Christian-Islamic religions;
- Private property;
- Population growth – Human population density;
- Consumerism;
- Fragmentation of habitat;
- Sewers, drain systems, pipelines;
- Land use that serves human needs;
- Fisheries;
- Golf courses;
- Scuba diving;
- Synthetic drugs [Global Biodiversity Assessment Report].

“It is clear that current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle-class – involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, air-conditioning, and suburban housing - are not sustainable” [Maurice Strong, Founder of the UN Environment Program].

“Global Sustainability requires the deliberate quest of poverty, reduced resource consumption, and set levels of mortality control [EMcD: i.e. Population Culling, aka Deep Ecology]” [Professor Maurice King].

“Isn’t the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilisations collapse? Isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?” [Maurice Strong, Founder of the UN Environment Programme].

“My children had to report to their school whether they had arrived by public transport (good), bicycle (excellent), or car (evil)” [What Explains the Idiocy of the Liberal Elite?].

“The true objectives of Agenda 21 ... include an end to national sovereignty; restructure of the family unit which means basically the state will take care of your children, with a keen eye toward indoctrinating them into state control over family allegiance; abolition of private property ... while cars will not be allowed” [Agenda 21 Revealed – You Need to Know This].

“[It is imperative that people understand how virtually every recommendation contained in Agenda 21 erodes individual freedom, private property rights, and ultimately, free enterprise” [Sustainable Development Law: S-1619].

“[In truth, such ‘lifestyles and consumption patterns’ are sustainable, so long as the freedom that makes prosperity possible is not destroyed in the name of achieving ‘sustainability’” [UN Agenda 2030: A Recipe for Global Socialism].
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What Gives Agenda 21 Ruling Authority?

"More than 178 nations adopted Agenda 21 as official policy during a signing ceremony at the Earth Summit. ... In signing, each nation pledged to adopt the goals of Agenda 21" [Agenda 21 In One Easy Lesson].

"This global contract binds governments around the world to the UN plan for changing the ways we live, eat, learn, and communicate ... it would manage and monitor all lands and all people" [Agenda 21: The U.N. Plan for ‘Sustainable’ Communities].

Agenda 2030

"This Agenda is a plan of action for people, planet and prosperity ... All countries and all stakeholders, acting in collaborative partnership, will implement this plan. ... We are determined to take the bold and transformative steps which are urgently needed to shift the world on to a sustainable and resilient path. As we embark on this collective journey, we pledge that no one will be left behind ... It is accepted by all countries and is applicable to all ... These are universal goals and targets which involve the entire world" [Preamble, and Introduction (Points 1-5), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

"We are setting out together on the path towards sustainable development ... The new Goals and targets will come into effect on 1 January 2016 and will guide the decisions we take over the next 15 years. All of us will work to implement the Agenda within our own countries” [The New Agenda (Points 18,21), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

"We reiterate that this Agenda and the [17] Sustainable Development Goals and [169 associated] targets, including the means of implementation, are universal, indivisible and interlinked” [Means of Implementation and Global Partnership, (Point 71), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

"When Agenda 2030 was adopted at the 70th annual UN General Assembly confab in New York City on September 25th [2015] ... every one of the 193 UN member governments on the planet ... vowed to help impose the UN’s controversial goals on their subjects ... which the summit unanimously ‘approved’, as the next ‘Great Leap Forward’ – yes, the old campaign slogan of the Chinese Communist Party. ... The last ‘Great Leap Forward’, presided over by Chairman Mao Tse-tung between 1958 and 1963, resulted in the murder of an estimated 45 million Chinese who were worked, starved, or beaten to death” [UN Agenda 2030: A Recipe for Global Socialism].

Human Resources Must Be ‘Re-Orientated’

“AGENDA 21 proposes an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by every person on Earth ... Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced – a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources” [UN Agenda 21: The Earth Summit Strategy to Save Our Planet, quoted in Your Hometown].

"Everything is linked to Total Quality Management, the process for managing and monitoring the development of human and natural resources as well as commercial products. Schools, corporations, and governments are adopting TQM management” [Agenda 21: The U.N. Plan for ‘Sustainable’ Communities].

The Earth Charter

“The Earth Charter is a global movement of organizations and individuals that embrace the Earth Charter and use it to guide the transition towards a more just, sustainable, and peaceful world. ... The Earth Charter is a universal expression of ethical principles to foster sustainable development” [The Earth Charter Initiative].

"I envisage the principles of the Earth Charter to be a new form of the ten commandments. They lay the foundation for a sustainable global earth community [Mikhail Gorbachev, co-author of The Earth Charter].

“The Earth Dialogues were held at the UN to discuss how the general public could be convinced to adopt the ‘covenant with the Earth’ in a real and personal way” [The Earth Charter – Companion to Agenda 21].

“In order to build a sustainable global community, the nations of the world must renew their commitment to the UN ... and support the implementation of Earth Charter principles with an international legally binding instrument on environment and
development ... we must commit ourselves to adopt and promote the values and objectives of the Charter. This requires a
change of mind and heart. ... Every individual, family, organization, and community has a vital role to play” [The Earth Charter].

“The purpose of the Action Guidelines is also to ensure a certain measure of consistency [EMcD Note: i.e. tight UN control] in how
decentralized actions on behalf of the Earth Charter are carried out. Think of the Guidelines as a kind of virtual coordinating
mechanism [EMcD Note: i.e some very careful sock-puppeting] for the Earth Charter Movement as it prepares for a rapid
decentralized expansion that could involve the actions of many millions of people worldwide [EMcD Note: i.e. we dare not loosen our grip on these millions of ignorant proles or our Plan will all go up in smoke!] ... The full implementation of many principles on the Earth Charter will require
action on the part of governments, corporations, and other organizations. However, the commitment of individuals is the
ultimate source of leadership and change [EMcD Note: i.e. recruit Common Purpose change agents] for a better world and the contributions
of all people in all sectors is essential” [Earth Charter Initiative: Action Guidelines].

“Despite cleverly polished rhetoric couched in terms meant to convey the popular impression in the West that he has broken
completely with his Communist totalitarian past, Gorbachev is still a Bolshevik and is still committed to the glorious socialist
revolution ... global collectivism, under a global government” [The Global School Board].

Project Europe

“These goals and ambitions will require a step change in the approach of national governments to the EU. Too often they fail to
realise that, in an increasingly interdependent and multi-polar world, their long-term interests are best served by pursuing them
at the European rather than national level. ... The EU urgently needs a shared understanding of how to realise its ambitious
agenda. It needs to identify the measures required to adapt to the global era. ... the EU can lead international efforts to address
major global challenges, including ... climate change, sustainable development ... This mission requires political courage and
collective ambition” [Project Europe 2030, pp.51,52].

Transforming the World

“We are determined to mobilize the means required to implement this Agenda ... our world will be transformed for the better”
[Partnership, Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“This is a universal Agenda. ... We reaffirm our unwavering commitment to ... utilizing it to the full to transform our world for the
better by 2030” [Follow-Up and Review, (Points 73,91), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

ICLEI / Local Governments for Sustainability

“Since [1992] Agenda 21 has become the guiding international blueprint for development into the twenty-first century. During
the preparation of Agenda 21, the International Council for Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) worked to ensure that this global
plan also addressed the roles and perspectives of local governments” [Preface, The Local Agenda 21 Planning Guide, p.8].

“All ICLEI Members shall develop towards being sustainable cities. They shall implement this vision and invest their resources ...
Network of local leaders: The most ambitious and advanced local governments of the globe shall be proud to be Members [EMcD: appeals to human pride have always worked], and will recognize ICLEI as the organization which simultaneously supports, pushes, and
exposes them to collectively address all manner of urban challenges” [ICLEI Seoul Plan, p.22].

“The sustainable city remains our vision. This vision can be realized only with ambitious and committed leaders; strong
involvement from civil society, stakeholders, and partners; innovative businesses; and open-minded, peaceful, and inclusive
citizens [EMcD: i.e., indoctrinated, docile, and obedient plebs]. We will continue connecting cities, local governments, and regional and sub-national governments and their associations to the United Nations” [ICLEI Seoul Plan: Building a World of Local Actions, pp.3,14].

ICLEI “now operates in more than 1200 cities globally ... all of which pay dues for the privilege of working with ICLEI. ... replacing
local guidelines with international codes, rules and regulations” [Agenda 21: Conspiracy Theory or Threat].

“Your local planning department will try to convince you that ICLEI is not affiliated with the UN. This is a lie. ... The name was changed ... in order to convince you that ICLEI is a local group. ... It is made up of local government officials who meet outside of
the public view. These officials are influenced with model statutes, ordinances, and laws that are given to them by ICLEI to
impose on their cities and counties” [Post Sustainability Institute, Information Flyer].
Local Agenda 21 / 2030

“Because so many of the problems and solutions being addressed by Agenda 21 have their roots in local activities, the participation and cooperation of local authorities will be a determining factor in fulfilling its objectives. Local authorities construct, operate and maintain economic, social and environmental infrastructure, oversee planning processes, establish local environmental policies and regulations, and assist in implementing national and subnational environmental policies. As the level of governance closest to the people, they play a vital role in educating, mobilizing and responding to the public to promote sustainable development” [Agenda 21, Chapter 28: Local Authorities’ Initiatives in Support of Agenda 21 / The Local Agenda 21 Planning Guide, Chapter 1: SD: The Local Context, pp.12-13].

“Of the many programs that have resulted from the Earth Summit, none is more promising or important than this one, which has hundreds of local authorities around the world now setting out and implementing their Local Agenda 21s” [Maurice Strong, Foreword, The Local Agenda 21 Planning Guide, p.3].

“The Local Agenda 21 Planning Guide has been prepared to assist local governments and their local partners to learn and undertake the challenging task of sustainable development planning. This planning approach is a fundamental first step ... that will enable them to manage their cities, towns, and/or rural settlements in a sustainable way. The Guide offers tested and practical advice on how local governments can implement the UN’s Agenda 21 action plan for sustainable development and the related UN’s Habitat Agenda ... As a result, Chapter 28 of Agenda 21 calls upon local governments, working with their communities, to create their own local action plans, or Local Agenda 21 programs” [Preface, The Local Agenda 21 Planning Guide, p8].

“[T]he kind of change required by sustainability implicates each community, each household, each individual ... all over the world people are working together to build a sustainable future at the local level [by implementing] the local community version of Agenda 21 ... Local Agenda 21 initiatives” [UNESCO, Educating for Sustainable Future, quoted in The Global School Board].

“Regionalism must precede globalism. We foresee a seamless system of governance from local communities, individual states, regional unions and up through to the UN itself” [UN Commission on Global Governance].

“Chapter 28 of Agenda 21 specifically calls for each community to formulate its own Local Agenda 21 ... the people selected to represent the ‘citizens’ in your community will not present your interests. ... [they] are implementing a new system of governance without asking your opinion” [Agenda 21: The U.N. Plan for ‘Sustainable’ Communities].

“To enforce the policy, local government is being transformed by ‘stakeholder councils’ created and enforced by the same NGO Agenda 21 authors. They are busy creating a matrix of non-elected boards, councils and regional governments that usurp the ability of citizens to have an impact on policy. It’s the demise of representative government ... elected officials become little more than a rubber stamp to provide official ‘approval’ to the regional bureaucracy” [Agenda 21: Conspiracy Theory or Threat].

“...one community after another has found that it has enacted a ‘local’ program that is virtually indistinguishable from every other ‘local’ program, whether across the country or across the planet ... these initiatives ... invariably destroy economic vitality, erode property rights, undermine liberty and constitutional government, impose soviet-style rule through ‘stakeholder councils’, [and] subvert local control” [Your Hometown & the UN’s Agenda 21].

“In truth, [these ‘local’ initiatives] are top-down programs built on the Soviet model in which the Communist Party produces a central plan and then sends it down the ranks for local soviets (councils) to implement, under a façade of local participation and input” [The Global School Board].

“I want all local authorities in the UK to adopt Local Agenda 21 strategies by the year 2000” [Tony Blair, at the UN General Assembly, New York, 23 June 1997].

Medway Council: Local Agenda 21 / 2030

“Medway Council has produced, or is developing, a number of other plans and strategies that will impact on this Best Value Review. These include: ... Local Agenda 21” [Medway Council, Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Traffic and Road Safety Best Value Review Project Brief, 20 June 2002], p.8].

The focus here is on transport and parking, as that is the issue we’re discussing with Medway Council at present, but Medway Council’s Local Plan Issues and Options January/February 2016, and Local Plan Development Options January 2017, detail the various other Local Agenda 21 issues which the Council seeks to co-opt into its plans for Medway during the next 20 years.
“Sustainable travel is any form of travel that keeps damage to the environment to a minimum. Reducing the number of cars on the road will reduce the level of carbon emissions and other pollutants emitting into the atmosphere” [Medway Council, Active Travel].

“Regional Policy Guidance for the South East ... seek to co-ordinate ... transport in order to meet environmental objectives. The regional transport objectives are: ... to reduce the growth in reliance on the motor vehicle; to increase the opportunity to choose modes of travel with less environmental impact; particularly walking, cycling, public transport, rail...” [Medway Council, Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Traffic and Road Safety Best Value Review Project Brief, (20 June 2002), p.7].

“[T]he following objectives ... are proposed: ... To develop methods to achieve attitudinal change among road and transport uses and providers, to promote and prioritise the environment” [Medway Council, Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Traffic and Road Safety Best Value Review Project Brief, (20 June 2002), p.2].

“Questions: What measures should be considered to increase transport usage and rates of walking and cycling in Medway? What provision should be made for parking?” [Medway Council Local Plan, (Jan/Feb 2016), p.98].

“Vehicle Parking: Reductions in the number of parking spaces are considered in urban areas with access to public transport” [Medway Council, Local Plan, Development Options, (January 2017), Section 11: Sustainable Transport, p.100].

For more quotes from Medway Council regarding ‘Sustainable Transport’ please see: Local Agenda 21/2030 Medway Council and Private Transport: A Quickie Summary in Quotes.

Medway Council: The Plan

“The most important thing is for officers and Members to stick to the plan and not change direction or waver from our intention” [Medway Council, Regeneration, Culture and Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Briefing Note – No. 08/16, (12 Sept 2016)].

“Medway Council is preparing a new Local Plan to set out a strategy for Medway’s development up to 2035. The Local Plan considers the development needed in the area, and sets out a framework for directing sustainable development” [Medway Council Local Plan, (Jan/Feb 2016), p.4].

“...Finally, there is a plan. ... it will embrace several political jurisdictions, involve a ‘commission’ or ‘council’ with some measure of authority to ‘oversee’ the implementation of the plan, and it will contain several components that are remarkably similar to all the other ‘sustainable communities’ around the country. Virtually all of the components come from recommendations contained in Agenda 21” [When SD Comes to Your Town].

“Sustainable Development is a land use and energy plan that restricts and monitors every aspect of your life. It has been used to write the General Plan for your city and county” [Post Sustainability Institute, Information Flyer].

“[T]he local community rarely knows anything about the plan until it reaches the final stages of adoption” [What Is a Sustainable Community?].

“...behind the scenes, the plan is moving forward” [Agenda 21: The U.N. Plan for ‘Sustainable’ Communities].

The ‘Hub’

“ICLEI’s aim is to provide professional advice, networking opportunities, training and tools to public authorities wanting to implement ... sustainable ... practices. ICLEI’s specific approach includes: ... Providing a central knowledge hub [EMcD: Please note] where people can come to find information on sustainable and innovation procurement” [ICLEI Seoul Plan: Building a World of Local Actions for a Sustainable Urban Future, p.40].

We Mustn’t Be Influenced by Opposition

“The standard points made by those who deny any Agenda 21 connections is that:

- Local planning is a local idea;
- Planners are simply honest professionals trying to do their job, and all these protests are wasting their valuable time,
- The main concern of Agenda 21 is that man is fouling the environment and using up resources for future generations and we just need a sensible plan to preserve and protect the earth. What is so bad about that?;
• There is no hidden agenda;
• And of course, the most often heard response – ‘Agenda 21, what’s that?’ “[Agenda 21: Conspiracy Theory or Threat].

“It doesn’t matter what is true, it only matters what people believe is true” [Paul Watson, Co-Founder of Greenpeace].

“Participating in a UN advocated planning process would very likely bring out many ... who would actively work to defeat any elected official ... undertaking Local Agenda 21. So we call our process something else, such as comprehensive planning, growth management or smart growth” [J. Gary Lawrence, advisor to Clinton].

“Opposing voices must be silenced: ‘Implementing the sustainable agenda requires marginalizing critics’ says Craig Rucker, Executive Director of CFAC” [Agenda 21: The U.N. Plan for ‘Sustainable’ Communities].

“We who oppose it don’t believe that the world is in such dire emergency environmentally that we must destroy the very human civilisation that brought us from a life of nothing but survival against the elements into a world that gave us homes, health care, food, and even luxury. Sustainable Development advocates literally hope to roll back our civilization to the days of mere survival and we say NO” [Agenda 21: Conspiracy Theory or Threat].

### Monitoring Local Agendas 21/2030

I’m sorry to include so many quotes – there are hundreds of these UN orders to monitor local compliance, and it was very difficult to know which ones to leave out. It is vital to understand that these people are not playing games: they are deadly serious when they say “No-one must be left behind.”

“The Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD) was created in December 1992 to ensure effective follow-up of UNCED [UN Conference on Environment and Development], to monitor and report on implementation of the agreements at local, national, regional and international levels” [Sustainable Development, Knowledge Platform, Agenda 21 UNCED 1992].

“The organisation facilitates local government input to UN” [About ICLEI Europe].

“In regard to our overall mission, ICLEI will: ... Integrated policies: Strengthen the various areas in which we work with Members ... while stressing the need for integrated policies and management principle. Silo thinking is outdated [EMcD: ‘Silo thinking’ is ‘withholding information from other departments.’ i.e. it is local or national independent action rather than global ‘unified’ or ‘multilateral’ action]” [ICLEI Seoul Plan: Building a World of Local Actions for a Sustainable Urban Future, p.5].

“ICLEI will ... Develop further reporting tools to monitor and analyse local performance ... Global reporting: ... reporting local and regional commitments, targets, actions and achievements” [ICLEI Seoul Plan, p.6].

“2015-2021: ICLEI will ... offer systems and approaches to further measure and share actual achievements and the performance of Members ... Strengthen efforts to motivate local leaders and decision makers for change ... Consolidate and expand our systematic knowledge management in order to capture the performance of cities by using various methods, tools and technological solutions, and to subsequently make this information available to others. Provide information on local action in a web based system to develop and make global good practice more accessible ... Measure local government performance over time ... and celebrate the successes of top performers” [EMcD: i.e. scale-up the monitoring, plant ‘Change Agents’, apply carrots and sticks, ensure constant comparisons with and examples of “good” members]” [ICLEI Seoul Plan, p.11,13,23. Emphases in original].

“Quality, accessible, timely and reliable disaggregated data will be needed to help with the measurement of progress and to ensure that no one is left behind” [Follow-Up and Review, (Point 48), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“We recognize that baseline data for several of the targets remains unavailable, and we call for increased support for strengthening data collection ... We commit to addressing this gap in data collection so as to better inform the measurement of progress” [SD Goals and Targets, (Point 57), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“A robust, voluntary, effective, participatory, transparent and integrated follow-up and review framework will make a vital contribution to implementation and will help countries to maximise and track progress in implementing this Agenda in order to ensure that no one is left behind” [Follow-up and review, (Points 72,73), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“Follow-up and review processes at all levels will be guided by the following principles: ... They will track progress in implementing the universal Goals and targets, including the means of implementation, in all countries in a manner which respects their [EMcD: the Agendas, not the countries] universal, integrated and interrelated nature and the three dimensions of SD ...
They will ... identify achievements, challenges [EMcD: i.e. opposition], gaps and critical success factors and support countries in making informed [EMcD: i.e. UN-approved] policy choices. They will help to mobilize the necessary means of implementation ... They will benefit from the active support [EMcD: i.e. ‘persuasion’] of the UN system” [Follow-up and review, (Point 74b,c,i), Transforming Our World].

“We also encourage Member States to conduct regular and inclusive reviews of progress at the national and subnational levels” [Follow-up and review, National level (Point 79), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“[M]ember states have adopted a bottom-up system for reporting on the implementation of Agenda 2030. ... essential that countries report in a format and on a schedule that allows for analysis and comparison. This will also help make it possible to aggregate the information at the regional level and to identify the key areas of progress, as well as the major lessons learned and the obstacles to progress [EMcD: i.e. resistance by those who refuse to play ball] that most urgently need lifting” [Overseeing Agenda 2030].

“[T]his will require ... much more effective enforcement mechanisms ... the link between common guidelines set down at Community level and their implementation at national level ... should be strengthened through an effective ‘name and shame’ peer pressure mechanism, as well as positive incentives, financial and other. ... assessment procedures should focus on outcomes rather than inputs or processes” [Project Europe 2030, pp.5,20].

“Habitat and other relevant organs and organizations of the United Nations system are called upon to strengthen services in collecting information on strategies of local authorities” [Agenda 21, Chapter 28: Local Authorities’ Initiatives in Support of Agenda 21].

Tracking and Surveillance

“No one would be free from the watchful eye of the new global tracking and information system” [Agenda 21: The U.N. Plan].

“Local authorities’ use of high-tech ‘spy cars’ to surreptitiously snoop on motorists has now reached an unprecedented level. As many as 75 councils across Britain employ vehicles fitted with cameras ... The proliferation of these Big Brother vehicles has ... given rise to concerns about invasions of privacy ... fuelled suspicions that ... councils are preying on motorists in a cynical campaign designed to boost their coffers ... It is illegal for parking penalties to be used as a means of generating revenue ... if a council does make any profit on its parking operation, section 55 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 requires that it must be spent on transport or the environment” [Those Sneaky Council Spy Cars Fleece Drivers of £32m a Year].

“CCTV cars are allowed to park on double yellow lines in many areas, leading to claims of double standards” [Those Sneaky Council Spy Cars Fleece Drivers of £32m a Year].

CCTV cars are “contrary to the spirit of fairness and common sense of on street traffic management” [West Dorset District Council, quoted in Those Sneaky Council Spy Cars Fleece Drivers of £32m a Year].

“Local government can now hunt you down for non-payment of council tax or parking/speeding fines or non-payment of tax to HMRC ... Even the Food Standards Agency and Gambling Commission, police, military, secret services, British Transport Police, Dept of Health, Dept for Work and Pensions, Health and Safety Executive – all and many more will have access at will to your personal data. They will know through location data how fast you were driving, where you went, and who you met, and if they so desire, what you discussed. There are hundreds of thousands of individuals who can now peer into your world completely undetected. You have no idea who that person might be or what they want” [Britain’s Terrifying New Surveillance Laws].

“Under EU plans, every new car sold in [the] UK will have a ‘black box’ device. [The] Gadget contains a phone-like SIM card which tracks drivers’ movements ... ministers admit they are powerless to stop Big Brother technology ... Voluntary take-up has been low ... so the EU ruled all new car models must include eCall from October 1, 2015. Motorists will be unable to switch it off ... it ... ‘potentially enables the constant collection of the vehicle’s geolocation’” [EU to Bug Every Car in UK With Tracker Chips].

“[A] black box in a car ... will not merely log your driving ... but where your car (and consequently you) are for most of the time. All of the equipment needed to intrude into the life of the individual ... already exists ... technology is advancing at a frightening pace and ... ever more efficient methods to keep people under surveillance will be coming along ... There are plenty of people amongst the British elite who love nothing more than to interfere minutely with other people’s lives. The potential for state misbehaviour would be next to unlimited because they could use actual data, collected from an individual to look for any information which could be used to put pressure on the individual or to simply harass them” [Surveillance and the Insurance Industry].

“All of this is preparing the ground ... to demand that people wear monitors and carry technology which allows a person’s movements to be tracked. The stark, hideously unpalatable truth for anyone who cares for their freedom is that surveillance is one of those practices which has no natural limit” [Surveillance and the Insurance Industry].
“There would be immense opportunities or the abuse of power. In the past quarter century Britain has witnessed ever more authoritarian behaviour by governments of all colours which includes going beyond what the law empowers them to do … politicians and public servants [are] ever more arrogant in their application of laws. At the same time the general public has developed the type of mentality found in totalitarian states where the individual begins to live in continual fear of ending up in the hands of the police and the justice system … if they protest against the growing authoritarianism or breach the ever-expanding limits of political correctness” [Big Brother Plus is Knocking on Your Front Door].

“The consequence of this growing public fear is to feed the natural arrogance of those with power to become ever more reckless in their destruction of the necessary freedoms upon which a democracy rests. It is against this background that the proposed massive increase in surveillance must be seen. It is impossible any longer to have faith in any checks and balances put in place to prevent abuse of such new laws” [Big Brother Plus is Knocking on Your Front Door].

“Under the banner of saving the planet we are drowning liberty … our civil liberties are being restricted, constricted, and suffocated … The technology that is being marketed to you is actually being used to condition you to expect to be spied on, and to spy on others. Every totalitarian state in history has relied on data collection. The Nazis were masters of data collection and analysis. Your government now has technological capabilities that far exceed anything ever seen on the planet to this date … The pretty pastel vision of life in a Smart Growth development is a manipulation, a mask” [Behind the Green Mask: U.N. Agenda 21, p.8].

“Human beings have a need for privacy. When you next hear someone moronically parroting ‘If you have nothing to hide you have nothing to fear’ when the question of increased state surveillance is mooted, put this question to them: ‘May I come and stand outside your house with a video camera and record what you are doing in your home?’ I do not think you would find many takers. Then gently remind the person that when it comes to authoritarian governments, especially those driven by ideology, no one can ever be sure what does and does not need to be hidden from the state. What is permissible one day becomes a crime the next” [Big Brother Plus is Knocking on Your Front Door].

“We need to stamp on this now or we shall wake up in ten years or so and find ourselves in a surveillance society even more comprehensive than that envisaged by Orwell in 1984” [Surveillance and the Insurance Industry].

PETITION: Repeal the New Surveillance Laws (Investigatory Powers Act) [Please sign this petition! See Sources below for link]

Over-Taxing and Redistribution

“Rationalize inefficient fossil-fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption … including by restructuring taxation [EMcD: i.e. tax so-called ‘unsustainables’ to the hilt] … Implementation of the Goals and targets … will include the mobilization of financial resources … to developing countries” [SDGs / The New Agenda, (Point 41), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“[W]e need to consider the possibility of opening up new sources of revenue, for instance through the imposition of a carbon tax … and call for … a huge mobilisation of resources. … For instance, the EU should pursue climate change mitigation support [EMcD: i.e. redistribution via increased First World taxation] in the poorest regions,” [Project Europe 2030, pp.6,31,46].

“Goal 1.a: Ensure significant mobilization of resources … Goal 10: Reduce inequality within and among countries. By 2030, progressively achieve and sustain income growth of the bottom 40% of the population at a rate higher than the national average … reduce inequalities of outcome … progressively achieve greater equality … Encourage official development assistance and financial flows, including foreign direct investment, to … least developed countries, African countries, … Goal 17.1,3: Strengthen domestic resource mobilization … Mobilize additional financial resources” [SDGs, Transforming Our World].

“Promote the equitable distribution of wealth within nations and among nations” [The Earth Charter]. / “The goal now is a socialist, redistributionist society” [David Brower, Founder of Friends of the Earth].

“Sustainable Development advocates seek oppressive taxes to control and punish behaviour of which they don’t approve and there is much these advocates disapprove” [The Green Agenda: Sustainable Development].

“The UN believes that massive global wealth distribution is needed in order to bring about global ‘equity’ … tax payers will also be expected to contribute to a global safety net that hundreds of millions of people could end up relying on” [The UN Plan for Running the World].

People everywhere “must be persuaded … to a redistribution of the world’s resources … to create global equality” [Habitat II].
“In simpler terms, Western taxpayers should prepare to be fleeced so that their wealth can be redistributed internationally as their own economies are cut down to size by Big Government. Of course, as has been the case for generations, most of the wealth extracted from the productive sector will be redistributed to the UN and Third World regimes – not the victims of these regimes, impoverished largely through domestic socialist/totalitarian policies imposed by the same corrupt regimes to be propped up with more Western aid under Agenda 2030” [UN Agenda 2030: A Recipe for Global Socialism].

“...redistributing wealth from what remains of the Western middle class to Third World dictators ... developing countries being the term used by UN bureaucrats to refer to Third World dictatorships that have impoverished their victims for generations” [UN Internet Summit Run by Beijing Pushes ‘Global Governance’].

“[The redistribution] fallacy totally disregards the role of private enterprise, innovation, and human ingenuity to create ... where they fail to lift third world countries out of abject poverty and unchecked violence, they will attempt to achieve global equality and level the playing field by taking us down. ... if a single penny of your tax dollar finds its way out of the UN and into hands of a peasant in some remote village ... it will be a miracle” [An Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, pp.27,72,84].

“What is the point in us having all these economy-destroying taxes when the likes of India and China couldn’t care less? In fact green taxes actually harm the environment because they encourage heavy industry to move to less well-regulated parts of the world, but then that’s the point. Green fundamentalism has nothing to do with the environment or saving the planet, its purpose is to transfer wealth and especially industry from Europe and North America to China and India. Industry is strength, which is why we are being stripped of it” [Comment at The Prince of Wales’ Ladybird Book on Climate Change Is Not a Spoof Unfortunately].

“History has shown the emptiness of these promises. Long ago the Communist Manifesto announced a proletarian revolution which would empower the poor by redistributing wealth. Everyone would be equal. ... It happened! All but the leaders became equally poor ... Yet UN-led activists ... are determined to fulfil their Utopian visions” [Habitat II: The UN Plan for Human Settlements].

“I have an enigmatic clue for them. It’s a [toughie]. They’ll only understand it if they are smart: Stop raising the standard of living for others by lowering mine; stop giving others rights by taking away mine; stop liberating others [by enslaving] me” /

“And another clue for them if they want to improve the world: Instead of making the functional nations more dysfunctional, make the dysfunctional nations more functional. You can’t build by destroying. No amount of sabotage will build a single house” [comments at Elites ‘Davos Always Wrong’: Globalism Push Fuelled Worldwide Populist Backlash].

“Living in a bare-bones ... ‘sustainable’ lifestyle entails having your life ... micromanaged through an internationally governed system of laws and mandates purportedly designed to ensure the equitable redistribution of wealth, but which, in truth, will totally destroy [your nation]” [Agenda 21Equals National Suicide].

“It grows and spreads and infiltrates every facet of society, always demanding more taxes ... until eventually, there are no more taxes to take” [What Is a Sustainable Community?].

The Collective / Communitarianism

As we embark on this great collective journey, we pledge that no one will be left behind” [Introduction, (Points 1-4), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“Individual rights will have to take a back seat to the collective” [Harvey Ruvin, Vice-Chairman, ICLEI, The Wildlands Project].

“As city leaders, local governments are crucial actors in a position to advance local action. Addressing these challenges requires ... collective action at all levels of government. This is why ICLEI drives a global movement of local governments, united in pursuit of positive change through collective learning...” [ICLEI Seoul Plan: Building a World of Local Actions for a Sustainable Urban Future, p.44].

“Sustainable Development is ... concerned with restructuring the governmental system of the world’s nations so that all the people of the world will be the subjects of a global collective” [Understanding Sustainable Development].

“Communitarianism is: A strange mixture of capitalism and communism. Communitarians want social control in a collectivist and corporatist society; A type of neo-communism; ... A ‘Big Brother knows best’ type of philosophy” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“Communitarians want: To create a post-modern, post-democratic feudal society ... run by a small number of rich and powerful people with everyone else working as peasants. In order to achieve their objectives they must destroy the middle class and the nation state; Highly-taxed capitalism merged with social collectivism ... called ‘civil society’; Government by committee, with the committee deciding what is for the ‘common good’.” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].
“Communitarianism is aimed, just like fascists, socialists and communists, at the collective. The interests of the collective always prevail above the interests of the individual. Individuals must subjugate themselves to the ‘common good’. Communitarians create imaginary problems … to force through their ideas” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“Why not save the planet by trading cars for bikes … ? The answer is simple. Marxist economics has never worked. Socialism produces poverty, not prosperity. Collectivism creates oppression, not freedom” [Agenda 21: The U.N. Plan for ‘Sustainable’ Communities].

**Change Agents**

“A change agent is a person, team or organisation used to effect changes in an organisation” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“Be an agent of change. Contribute to the great transition towards a society founded on respect for nature, social & economic justice and a culture of peace” [The Earth Charter Initiative].

“Children and young women and men are critical agents of change” [A Call for Action to Change Our World, (Point 51), Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development].

“The involvement of today’s youth in environment and development decision-making and in the implementation of programmes is critical to the long-term success of Agenda 21” [Agenda 21: Chapter 25: Children and Youth in Sustainable Development].

“The EU can be an agent of change in the world ... if all of us – politicians, citizens, employers and employees – are able to pull together with a new common purpose defined by the needs of the age ... As with all transformations, the emerging order will result in new winners and losers. If Europe does not want to be among the losers, it needs to look outwards and embark on an ambitious long-term reform programme for the next twenty years” [Project Europe 2030, pp.3-4].

“According to the [Earth] Charter, humanity must undergo a global ‘change of mind and heart’. And the UN’s all-wise seers visualise themselves as the lead change agents for this global awakening. ... it intends to recruit your children as change agents, as well” [The Earth Charter – Companion to Agenda 21].

“[O]ur children are being indoctrinated to embrace new values and perspectives. Our leaders are undermining the family unit as they repeatedly tell our children that they know better than their parents. ... the Earth Charter ... is the massive educational re-programming of the youth” [Artificial Paradise, Inc.].

“[A]ny plan for building international socialism would be lacking without also targeting the next generation ... And so an entire goal of Agenda 2030 is devoted to ensuring that all children, everywhere, are transformed into what the UN calls, ‘agents of change’, ready to push forward the plan for the new global order” [UN Agenda 2030: A Recipe for Global Socialism].

“[T]he best way to change [a] ... country ... is by the use of small changes which very few people take any notice of at the time. These small changes increment over time to make large changes. When the large changes become apparent to the ... general public, they may not be able to do anything about it” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

**Common Purpose**

“We develop leaders who can cross boundaries. ... We think leaders who can work across ... boundaries are more likely to solve problems and create change ... Leading Beyond Authority” [Common Purpose].

Common Purpose is a group “for upper and middle management implementing the Agenda 21, sustainable development, and communitarian policies ... to form a New World Order control grid in the UK” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“The networked, semi-secret, International Leadership Organisation, Common Purpose, plays an essential part in the UN Agenda 21 program” [One World Governance – A Common Purpose?].

“Common Purpose is ... working as a change agent ... to bring about the end to all industrialization in what they call ‘the post-industrial zero-growth society’” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“By blurring the boundaries between people, professions, public and private sectors, responsibility and accountability, Common Purpose encourages graduates to believe that as new selected leaders, [they] can work together, outside of the established
political and social structures, to achieve this paradigm shift or CHANGE. [This is the so-called ‘Leading Outside Authority’. In doing do, the allegiance of the individual becomes ‘re-framed’ on … their NETWORK” [About Common Purpose].

“Common Purpose … controls many Regional Development Agencies” [What is Common Purpose?].

“Council Officers are having secret meetings with, for example, property developer Common Purpose friends. No agendas and no minutes. Common Purpose graduates from the public quango sectors such as the Regional Development Agencies attend, and have the power to award large sums of public money to projects. It is the worst example of cronyism, closed contract bids, fraud and corruption. And unseen to the general public” [What is Common Purpose?].

“Common Purpose undermines traditionally effective and efficient government departments with an overwhelming influx of new language, political correctness, and management initiatives. The talk is of empowering communities, vision, worklessness, mainstreaming (sucking EU money into a project to sustain it), … working partnership, regeneration and celebrating diversity, etc, etc. Documents appear about change, and reorganisation. As CP ‘leaders’ become more senior they employ countless managers and bureaucrats” [What is Common Purpose?].

“The main objective of Common Purpose is social control in a collectivist and corporatist society … and the slow, secretive merging of the public and private sectors into a state-controlled partnership” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“The plan, now well advanced, is to create a massive network of ‘training and diversity’ organisations to brainwash the useful idiots [EMcD: A ‘useful idiot’ is “a person perceived as a propagandist for a cause whose goals they are not fully aware of and who is used cynically by the leaders of the cause”) needed to run society on behalf of the ‘elite’ … who see the people as little more than taxable cash cows, that must be firmly indoctrinated and then kept in their place” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“Common Purpose has been obtaining money for training unlawfully, on at least three counts:

- The decision for local authorities to spend the money on training was taken outside of the democratic processes and is therefore unlawful;
- The local authorities, when pressed, had to admit that there was no financial benefit to the ratepayer, i.e. unlawful;
- There are large bills for creating bespoke training courses, when in fact it is the same off-the-shelf course, i.e. fraud” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“A Common Purpose quote: ‘People who lead beyond their authority can produce change beyond their direct circle of control’. Leading beyond authority can mean acting ultra vires. Ultra vires is a legal concept. It is Latin for ‘beyond the powers’. It can apply to any body which has rules, such as a charity or a local authority. An ultra vires act is one that is outside the specified and/or implied constitutional objects and powers of the body in question. It is ‘beyond the powers’ and is therefore illegal” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“The role of Common Purpose is to inform and train you in the new systems while making you believe that the changes they are implementing are local ideas. Nothing could be further from the truth. The systems they are implementing were designed at the global level and they are being implemented in all localities in most countries” [Common Purpose UK Mind Map].

“Targets and Triggers: After action goals are established, professional staff [EMcD: please see the quote re: ‘professional facilitators’ below this one] should work with stakeholders to define specific targets to be achieved within specified time frames. Planning efforts benefit greatly from the establishment of concrete targets. These targets permit managers to evaluate both the adequacy of actions being taken and the progress made in implementing an Action Plan. Triggers are unique forms of targets. They are agreed-upon future conditions that trigger further action by stakeholders when addressing a problem. For example, a community with air pollution problems may not be able to agree at present to establish restrictions on private automobile use, but they could agree that when local roadway use reaches a certain level that will institute a system of road pricing, such as toll collection” [Chapter 1, SD: The Local Context, The Local Agenda 21 Planning Guide, pp.16-17].

“At the local level professional facilitators [EmCd: Change Agents, Common Purpose Graduates, etc] are enlisted to organize and facilitate Town Hall, or focus group, meetings to discuss the local implementation of environmental policies in accordance with the sustained development model. While local activists believe that are affecting policy, they are actually falling into the facilitator’s snare, which in effect serves the master plan laid out by the United Nations in Rio, and not those of [Medway] … The entire process is a sham, carefully orchestrated by professionals who play local crowds like a fiddle to achieve their objectives” [An Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, pp.31-32].
Medway Council: Common Purpose and Change Agents

The information below is quite dated, but it is the latest I have found for Common Purpose graduates working as employees of Medway Council. Hopefully Medway Council no longer tolerates the subversive group within the Council. If I find any further information I'll include it here.

Some Common Purpose graduates who have been at one time, or are still, employees of Medway Council:

- Carole Brown: Course date – 2003; Job title – Medway Warden Manager, Medway Council Chatham;
- Peter Holbrook: Course date – 2002; Job title – Project Manager, Medway Council for Voluntary Service Chatham;
- Mairi Jones: Course date – 1998; Job title – Assistant Directors of Education and Leisure, Medway Council Rochester;
- Michael Macleod: Course date – 1997; Job title – Executive Director, Medway Council for Voluntary Service Chatham;
- Tricia Palmer: Course date – 2001; Job title – Assistant Director Human Resources, Medway Council Rochester [Graduates]


Peter Holbrook: Attended a number of Medway Council Overview and Scrutiny Committee meetings. Was Project Director of the Sunlight Centre, Gillingham (31:01:2006 – 18:12:2009): “[H]e highlighted the extent to which the centre was going into a number of areas in Medway and establishing youth services through monies obtained from organisations such as the Children’s Fund ... He provided members with a very persuasive argument that he was able to deliver effective community based solutions in areas ... at a fraction of the cost that the council could deliver” [Scrutiny of Medway Youth Service, pp.4,11]. Sunlight “was highly commended by the Centre for Social Justice in 2007 ... he has previously worked for a number of NGOs such as ... Greenpeace” [Peter Holbrook: Public Services Awards 2011]. Since 2012 he has been on the Steering Committee, and the Chairman, of the Social Enterprise World Forum, one of its roles being “To encourage and support a concern for environmental, cultural and social issues, to address & promote blended, sustainable activities in SEWF locations” [About SEWF].

Mairi Jones: Assistant Director, Leisure - attended several meetings of the Education & Lifelong Learning Overview and Scrutiny Committee between January and May 2004 [Scrutiny of Medway Youth Service, pp.4,5].


Tricia Palmer: A Freedom of Information request was made to Medway Council in 2009 concerning the “rank and duties” of Tricia Palmer [Tricia Palmer – Common Purpose Graduate]. As Assistant Director of Organisational Services she has chaired and/or attended numerous meetings of the Employment Matters Committee between 2002 and 2016. “The Employment Matters Committee deals with all matters concerning the employment of staff and their terms and conditions of employment. This includes agreeing policies concerning staffing matters such as the Workforce Strategy” [Browse Meetings, Employment Matters Committee] and [Local Government Transparency Code 2015].

Progressive Elites and Regressive Proles, Populists, Plebs, Serfs, Peasants...

“The transformation of free societies into collectivised societies through Sustainable Development ensures the presence of a ruling elite which, by definition, ultimately excludes all but a very select few” [Understanding Sustainable Development].

“[T]his [is an] overriding top-down plan for collectivisation of the mind and bodies of people everywhere, under the guise of something called ‘sustainability’. By this buzzword – seemingly so innocuous and ostensibly designed for the ‘benefit’ of the planet and the people on it, unelected ‘experts’ who have never been mandated by the people to do any of these things, are delivering ready-made programmes that governments can likewise carry out because they are too lazy to analyse their true intent, too afraid to contradict them, too self-interested to want to, and too much in denial to care about listening to other voices. Due to this they stereotype and slur anyone who disagrees” [Comment at Human Cattle and Agenda 21].

“What the elite have been led to believe is that governments make things better. ‘Market failure’ is taught; ‘public-sector failure’ is not. ... from early on, environmentalism and recycling are taught as doctrine, rather than as subjects for discussion. ... [The elites] think they are superior and therefore their view should prevail. They also think that they are morally superior because they hold to the views which they were told were virtuous. Anyone who appears not to subscribe to these views must, of necessity, be a sinner or else appallingly misled. ... [The elites] are virtuous. They know best. They are the chosen ones. They have only a token belief in democracy. They expect and intend to prevail” [What Explains the Idiocy of the Liberal Elite?].

“Snowball – the pig who challenges Napoleon for control of Animal Farm after the Rebellion. Based on Leon Trotsky, Snowball is intelligent, passionate, eloquent, and less subtle and devious than his counterpart, Napoleon (based on Joseph Stalin)” [Animal Farm Character List].
“Boxer – the cart-horse whose incredible strength, dedication, and loyalty play a key role in the early prosperity of Animal Farm and the later completion of the windmill. Quick to help but rather slow-witted, Boxer shows much devotion of Animal Farm’s ideals but little ability to think about them independently. He naively trusts the pigs to make all his decisions for him. His two mottoes are ‘I will work harder’ and ‘Napoleon [EMcD: i.e. the Elites] is always right’” [Animal Farm Character List].

“Your very existence represents a stumbling block to a master plan that equates human life to a colony of ants, where the rights of the individual, and human dignity are defined by servitude, not freedom, and where personal ambition must be expended for the ‘greater good’” [Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, p.3].

“The elitists, world leaders, and self-appointed tin-pot gods make no attempt to hide their plans or activities. Why should they? To them, the masses are asses, too ignorant, lazy, and comfortable to risk upsetting the status quo or question the motives of a government run amok … Under Agenda 21 the common man takes a backseat to nature, freeing the elites and government figures to enjoy a pristine planet unspoiled by the unwashed masses” [Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, p.5].

“In Britain, as in the US, people are beginning to wake up to the fact that it’s not about left and right any more. It’s about the people against the Establishment elite” / “Whether you consider yourself on the political left or the right doesn’t matter … democracy is all that prevents powerful elites trampling all over us” [Sir Bob Geldof: Vote Remain… / Cameron’s Elite Will Stop at Nothing…].

“Politicians who take the populist route will find it a very short road … Populism is a fool’s paradise” [Philip Hammond, quoted in UK Economic Minister Blast’s Trump’s ‘Populism’ Before Davos Elite].

“That’s it then. Cancel the inauguration. Apologise to the EU. Abandon all this silly populism. Our elites have spoken. We should listen to their wisdom and stop all this nonsense” / “Elite Progressives: A bunch of rich people, convincing poor people, to vote for the rich people, by telling the poor people, that other rich people, are the reason they’re poor!” / “The only fool’s paradise is their … utopia” [Comments at Economic Minister Blast’s Trump’s ‘Populism’ Before Davos Elite].

“The Green mask must be drawn back – far back from … the little dictators running trusts, foundations, planning departments, city and town councils, provinces and states, [NGOs], and the like” [Behind the Green Mask: U.N. Agenda 21, p.7].

“The Saxon is not like us Normans. His manners are not so polite. But he never means anything serious till he talks about justice and right. When he stands like an ox in the furrow – with his sullen set eyes on your own, and grumbles, ‘This isn’t fair dealing,’ my son, leave the Saxon alone. … Let them know that you know what they’re saying; let them feel that you know what to say. Yes, even when you want to go hunting, hear ‘em out if it takes you all day … Say ‘we’, ‘us’ and ‘ours’ when you’re talking, instead of ‘you fellows’ and ‘I’. Don’t ride over seeds; keep your temper; and never you tell ‘em a lie!” [Norman and Saxon].

The Old Ways are Gone… The New World Order

“The EU … needs to become a driving force in shaping the new rules of global governance … The EU must forestall [the risk of de-globalisation] by proactively defending an ideal of responsible interdependence whereby the various actors uphold their commitment to … sustainable development” [Project Europe 2030, p.43].

“Global challenges require local solutions and global governance” [About ICLEI Europe].

“Many of those that are pushing ‘sustainable development’ on a global level believe that they are doing it for the good of the planet. … [T]hroughout modern history tyranny has almost always been initially introduced by people who believed that they had ‘good intentions’. No matter how much friendly language the UN uses in their reports, the truth is that what they are promoting is an insidious agenda of absolute tyranny on a global scale” [The UN Plan for Running the World].

“A lot of people … will not be alarmed by any of this because they know that the UN does not have the power to impose any of their goals on them right now. But … The UN is not playing a short-term political game. The UN is ready to play their game for decades if necessary. They will just keep coming back with conference after conference and treaty after treaty until they get what they want. … The UN does not have the power to coerce nations to do their bidding yet, so they rely mostly on cooperation. The UN will ‘take what they can get’ right now, and they know that someday they will eventually have the power to turn their recommendations into mandates” [The UN Plan for Running the World].

“Our Common Future … explained that environmentalism could be used as a tool to control all the people of the world and establish a one-world government. … the growth of the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) and the creation of Agenda 21 is a step towards bringing Brundtland’s ideas to life” [Agenda 21 and the Movement Toward a One-World Govt].
“Agenda 21 is the framework for a completely new paradigm in the 21st Century. ... [It] outlines in detail the UN’s vision for a centrally managed global society. ... Agenda 21 has a plan for how you will live, where you will live, how you commute, what you will eat, what you will learn (or be taught), and even who your God will be. ... When fully implemented, Agenda 21 would have the government involved in every aspect of life for every human being on earth” [Artificial Paradise, Inc.].

“[A]ssuming for a moment the faulty premises upon which it is all built were actually true... ‘sustainability’ could be achieved openly, honestly, while preserving individual freedom. It is being used as a way to control people, strip away their freedoms, and basically ‘subject’ them to a ruling class” [The Earth Charter – Companion to Agenda 21].

“The fruition of Agenda 21 is an Orwellian world of restricted travel, complete lack of privacy, deprivation of human dignity, and subjugation to totalitarian rule” [An Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, p.20].

“So, to quickly summarise, the activists behind the Global Green Agenda have established regulatory control in many societies through Agenda 21, they have written a Constitution for their transformed global society with the Earth Charter, and they have even described, in detail, how their new global system will be operate[d] in Our Global Neighbourhood” [The Green Agenda: The Final Phase – Global Governance].

The Elites’ Utopian City, Populist Rebellion, and the Dustbin of History

“But [the Elites’] idyllic dream may only come to fruition where good men and women stand idle. ... If the citizenry of the various nations that prize freedom and self-governance do not resolve to stop the United Nations in its tracks, we will all be answerable to the same handful of elites whose selfish pursuit of utopia will relegate the vast majority of the world’s population to a life of servitude and limited opportunity. It’s time to relegate Agenda 21 to the dustbin of history, where it belongs” [Expose of the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Initiative, pp.5,57].

“The phrase ‘ash heap of history’ or ‘dustbin of history’ figuratively refers to the place where persons, events, artifacts, ideologies, etc, are relegated after losing currency and value as history” [Ash Heap of History].

“You are pitiful, isolated individuals! You are bankrupts. Your role is played out. Go where you belong from now on – into the dustbin of history!” [Leon Trotsky].

“Populism is a political style of action that mobilizes a large alienated element of population against a government seen as controlled by an out-of-touch closed elite that acts on behalf of its own interests” [Populism].

“Branding those who diverge as ‘loonies’ or ‘extremists’ when they are just patriots and democrats, is testament to the mind-set that is behind this and similar plans” [Comment at Human Cattle and Agenda 21].

“The usual social cattle prods, like Hitler comparisons, race baiting and Empire shaming, are no longer effective. They seem to just bounce off the lower orders” [Auntie’s Nightmare].

“But you see, I don’t trust you anymore” [Convinced by Unreality].

“Smile at us, pay us, pass us; but do not quite forget; For we are the People of England, that have never spoken yet. ... you do not know us. For we have not spoken yet. ... Men called us serfs and drudges; ... And still we never spoke. ... They have given us into the hand of new unhappy lords, lords without anger or honour, who dare not carry their swords. They fight by shuffling papers; they have bright dead alien eyes; They look at our labour and laughter as a tired man looks at flies. And the load of their loveless pity is worse than the ancient wrongs, their doors are shut in the evening; and they know no songs. We hear men speaking for us of new laws strong and sweet, yet is there no man speaketh as we speak in the street. ... But we are the people of England; and we have not spoken yet. Smile at us, pay us, pass us. But do not quite forget” [The Secret People].

~~~~~~~~~
This **Quickie Summary in Quotes** was compiled and quoted from the following sources:

### Medway Council


Freedom of Information Request, Tricia Palmer – Common Purpose Graduate, (12 October 2009), [https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/tricia_palmer_common_purpose_gra](https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/tricia_palmer_common_purpose_gra)

Medway Council, Active Travel, (No Date), [http://www.medway.gov.uk/roadsandpavements/roadsafety/activetravel.aspx](http://www.medway.gov.uk/roadsandpavements/roadsafety/activetravel.aspx)


Rogers, Amanda, (Best Value Review Team Leader), Medway Council, Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Traffic and Road Safety Best Value Review Project Brief, (20 June 2002), [https://democracy.medway.gov.uk/Data/Environment%20Overview%20and%20Scrutiny%20Committee/20020620/Minutes/Min%20Item%20%28%209%20%289%20%208%29.pdf?nobdr=2](https://democracy.medway.gov.uk/Data/Environment%20Overview%20and%20Scrutiny%20Committee/20020620/Minutes/Min%20Item%20%28%209%20%208%29.pdf?nobdr=2)
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