

## BARFORD SHERBOURNE AND WASPERTON JOINT PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Meeting of the Planning Committee  
held in Barford Memorial Hall on Mon 27 Mar 23

<b>Present:</b>	Cllr T Merrygold, (Chairman) Cllr: J D Billingham, Mrs D Haynes, G Jackson, M J Metcalfe, J V Murphy, Mrs R Newsome,
<b>Apologies:</b>	Cllr: R Clay, Mrs L M Jones,

### Opening

121 The meeting opened at 7:30pm.

### Public Participation

122 No members of the public attended the meeting.

### Declaration of Disclosable Interests

123 Cllr Mrs Newsom, being an employee of the applicant of W/23/0302, declared a prejudicial interest and took no part in its resolution.

### Planning Applications

- 124 **Application No: W/23/0305**  
Description: Variation of condition 2 for application W/20/1288 altering the design of the building.  
Address: Scout Hut, Wasperton Lane, Barford, Warwick, CV35 8DT  
Applicant: Ms Crawshaw  
JPC Decision: No objection
- 125 **Application No: W/23/0325**  
Description: Erection of a two-storey front gable with associated detailing and the application of render to the entire property.  
Address: 9 Moat Green, Sherbourne, Warwick, CV35 8AJ  
Applicant: Mr & Mrs Eyes  
JPC Decision; No objection
- 126 **Application No: W/23/0302**  
Description: Change of use from village hall (Use Class F2) to commercial offices (Use Class E)  
Address: Village Hall, Church Road, Sherbourne, Warwick, CV35 8AN  
Applicant: Sherbourne Park Estate  
JPC Decision: Objection for these reasons  
The JPC does not believe the car parking is adequate for the planned change of use of the building. The Applications shows four parking spaces beside the building, one of which is a garage. This garage is relatively old and too narrow to house a modern vehicle, plus it is equipped with hinged wooden doors, the opening and closing of which will reduce the available parking space. Therefore the site only has three practical parking spaces, but the plans for the office space show it will be equipped workstations for ten people. Even if the two workstations at the rear of the office are planned to be used as a meeting or breakout space, then the offices are planned to accommodate eight people.  
Three parking places are not sufficient for an office of eight to ten people which means the extra vehicles will end being parked up along Church Road, which is already narrow, with residents' cars parked for large parts of the working day. In addition to this, while the two properties opposite the Village Hall have off street parking, they have gated drives whose entry and egress requires a clear sweep of the road. Church Road does not have to capacity to absorb the excess parking that the offices will generate.

## Notifications

- 127 **Application No: W/23/0052**  
Description: Proposed development of new woodland on existing farmland including hard surfacing, post and rail boundary treatment, allotment, and woodworking area. The land was previously used for occasional grazing of cattle  
Address: Lower Watchbury Farm, Wasperton Lane, Barford, Warwick, CV35 8DH  
Applicant: Harrison Projects Ltd  
JPC Decision: The JPC supports this application in principle but would wish for more detail of the allotments and the species of trees.  
WDC Decision: Granted
- 128 **Application No: W/23/0072 TC**  
Description: Prior approval for the erection of a 20.0m telecommunication monopole, additional equipment housing cabinets and associated ancillary works.  
Address: Grass Verge off, Wellesbourne Road, Barford  
Applicant: CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited  
JPC Decision: The JPC fully supports the Conservation Officer's comments and on those grounds objects to the application.  
WDC Decision: Refused

## Wellesbourne Innovation Centre (WIC)

- 129 WIC is a project which lies outside the JPC area, but which can have a major impact upon it for a variety of reasons, so although the JPC is not statutory consultee it was thought expedient to respond to the invitation for a public response. In summary the meeting thought the submission was amorphous, heavy on possibilities and light on certainties, and gave no hint of an overarching, coherent plan. The Chairman would respond on behalf of the JPC.

## Closure

- 130 There being no other items on the agenda the meeting was closed at 8:25pm